

61



AP 2872

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: Richard D. Bonaduce)
Filed: 2/15/2002) Group Art Unit: 2872
Serial No.: 10/076,927) Examiner: Mark A. Robinson
For: **Omnidirectional handlebar Reflector Insert**

MS Non-Fee Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RECEIVED
DEC - 9 2003
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Response to Office Action

Sir:

This Response is filed addressing the issues raised in the Office Action dated 11/05/2003, regarding the above-noted application for patent. The Applicant submits that, in light of the remarks below and those remarks of the prior response dated 8/29/03, the application is in proper condition for allowance. The Applicant respectfully requests the examiner allow the patent to proceed to issue.

REMARKS

In the final office action of the Examiner, several remarks made in paragraph 3 are simply incorrect and must therefore be addressed. Those incorrect statements by Examiner are as follows:

1. Sanger in no way mentions the word hemispherical. if it did, applicant would concede this point and move on without response. Sanger specifically states that its reflector is a circular bull's-eye. Applicant did not make up this term - Mr. Sanger did. No other description is even related, but certainly the term "hemispherical" can only be gleaned from applicant's specific specification and claim language. It cannot be found in Sanger. Examiner's use of the word "hemisphere" to describe Sanger is simply wrong.